



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/662,127	09/12/2003	Joseph A. Lang	3191E-000001/COF	9072
27572	7590 05/14/2004		EXAMINER	
HARNESS, DICKEY & PIERCE, P.L.C.			TORRES, MELANIE	
P.O. BOX 828 BLOOMFIELD HILLS, MI 48303			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
BECOMI IE	55 111225, WII 10303		3683	

DATE MAILED: 05/14/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

•	•	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
		10/662,127	LANG ET AL.	
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
		Melanie Torres	3683	
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication apport	pears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence ad	ldress
A SH THE - Exte after - If the - If NO - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. nsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. e period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a repl of period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period are to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailined patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin by within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day, will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the, cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timel the mailing date of this c D (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status				
1)⊠ 2a)□ 3)□	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>12 S</u> This action is FINAL . 2b) This Since this application is in condition for alloware closed in accordance with the practice under E	s action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, pro		e merits is
Dispositi	ion of Claims			
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□ 8)□	Claim(s) <u>20-31</u> is/are pending in the applicatio 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawing Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) <u>20-31</u> is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or ion Papers	wn from consideration.		
	•			
10)⊠	The specification is objected to by the Examine The drawing(s) filed on <u>12 September 2003</u> is/s Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	are: a) accepted or b) objected or b) objected are are accepted or b) objected are are accepted as accepted if the drawing(s) is objection is required if the drawing(s) is objected.	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). ected to. See 37 CF	FR 1.121(d).
Priority u	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119		•	
a)[Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bureau See the attached detailed Office action for a list	s have been received. s have been received in Application rity documents have been receive u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National	Stage
Attachment	t(s)			
1) 🔲 Notic	e of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary		
3) 🔯 Inforn	e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) r No(s)/Mail Date <u>2/24/04</u> .	Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal Pa	ite atent Application (PTC	D-152)

Application/Control Number: 10/662,127

Art Unit: 3683

DETAILED ACTION

Double Patenting

1. The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. See *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970);and, *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.130(b).

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

2. Claims 20-31 are rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-3, 4, 6, 8-10, and 12-15 respectively of U.S. Patent No. 6,648,105. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have made a brake pedal of a unitary structure as brake pedals of a unitary structure a well known in the art for actuating the brakes of a vehicle.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

3. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

Art Unit: 3683

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

4. Claims 25, 27-31 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Strait.

Strait discloses a vehicle comprising: a frame supported by a plurality of wheels; an accelerator pedal; a brake pedal (64) including a unitary foot actuated portion; a brake system receiving input from said brake pedal and generating an output to control a braking device, and a brake pedal locking mechanism (30) operatively cooperating with said brake pedal to provide a locked position beyond an end of said operating stroke, said brake pedal locking mechanism further operable to automatically unlatch said brake pedal from said locked position upon movement of said brake pedal beyond said locked position.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 5. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 6. Claims 20, 22, and 23 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Strait.

Application/Control Number: 10/662,127 Page 4

Art Unit: 3683

Re claims 20, 22 and 23, Strait discloses a vehicle comprising: a frame supported by a plurality of wheels; an accelerator pedal; a brake pedal (64) including a unitary foot actuated portion; a brake system receiving input from said brake pedal and generating an output to control a braking device, and a brake pedal locking mechanism (30) operatively cooperating with said brake pedal to provide a locked position beyond an end of said operating stroke, said brake pedal locking mechanism further operable to automatically unlatch said brake pedal from said locked position upon movement of said brake pedal beyond said locked position. However, Kazarian, Jr. does not teach wherein the vehicle is a golf car. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have used a pedal assembly in a golf cart since pedal assemblies are well known in the art in all vehicles.

Claim 21 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over in view of Kazarian, Jr.

21. (New) The golf car of claim 20, further comprising a kickoff mechanism which couples said accelerator pedal to said brake pedal locking mechanism and which actuates said brake pedal locking mechanism to unlatch said brake pedal from said locked position upon actuation of said accelerator pedal.

Application/Control Number: 10/662,127

Art Unit: 3683

Conclusion

Page 5

7. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Melanie Torres whose telephone number is (703)305-0293. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday, 6:30 AM - 4:00 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Jack Lavinder can be reached on (703)308-3421. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Milanie Sorres

May 12, 2004